

Prins Albert Municipality



Budget/ Begroting 2015/16- 2017/18 Medium Term Revenue and Expenditure Framework/ Medium Termyn Inkomste- en Uitgaweraamwerk

Contents

Contents	2
Glossary	3
PART 1 - ANNUAL BUDGET	5
Section 1 - Mayoral Report.....	5
Section 2 - Budget related resolutions	7
Section 3 - Executive Summary	8
Section 4 - Budget Tables.....	14
PART 2 - SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	12
Section 5 - Overview of annual budget process	12
Section 6 - Overview of alignment of annual budget with IDP	14
Section 7 - Measurable performance objectives and indicators.....	17
Section 8 - Overview of budget-related policy	14
Section 9 - Overview of budget assumptions.....	15
Section 10 - Overview of budget funding	16
Section 11 - Expenditure on grant allocations and grant programs	17
Section 12 - Grants and allocations made by the Municipality.....	17
Section 13 - Councillor allowances and employee benefits	17
Section 14 - Monthly targets for revenue, expenditure and cash flow	18
Section 15 - Service delivery and budget implementation plan	18
Section 16 - Contracts having future budgetary implications	18
Section 17 - Capital expenditure details	18
Section 18 - Legislative compliance status.....	18
Section 19 - Quality Certification by Municipal Manager.....	25
Annexure A - Budget tables A1 to SA37	
Annexure B - Draft Top Layer SDBIP	
Annexure C - Detailed tariff listing	

Glossary

Adjustments budget - Prescribed in Section 28 of the MFMA. The formal manner in which a municipality can revise its budget during the year.

Budget - The financial plan of the Municipality.

Budget-related Policy - Policy of a municipality affecting the budget or affected by the budget, such as the tariff policy, rates policy and credit control and debt collection policy.

Capital expenditure - Expenditure on assets such as land, buildings and machinery. Any capital expenditure must be reflected as an asset on the Municipality's balance sheet.

Cash flow statement - A statement showing when actual cash is to be received and spent by the Municipality. Cash payments do not always correspond with budgeted expenditure frameworks. For example, when an invoice is received by the Municipality, it is regarded as expenditure in the month; even it is not paid within the same period.

DORA - Distribution of Revenue Act. Annual legislation containing the total allocations by national government to provincial and local governments.

Equitable share - A general allocation paid to municipalities. It is mainly aimed at rendering assistance with free basic services.

Fruitless and wasteful expenditure - Expenditure done in vain and that could have been avoided if reasonable care was exercised.

GFS - Government Finance Statistics. An internationally recognised classification system making a type by type comparison between municipalities.

Grants - Money received from Provincial or National Government and other municipalities.

GRAP - Generally Recognised Accounting Policy. The new standard for municipal accounting.

IDP - Integrated Development Plan. The main strategic planning document of the Municipality.

KPI's - Key Performance Indicators. Measurement of service outputs and/or outputs.

MFMA - The Municipal Financial Management Act - No. 53 of 2003. The main legislation applicable to municipal financial management.

MTREF - Medium term Revenue and Expenditure Framework. A Medium term financial plan, usually 3 years, based on a fixed first year and indicative further two years budgetary allocations. Also includes details of the financial position of the preceding and current year.

Nett Assets -Nett assets are the residual interest in the assets of the entity after all its liabilities have been deducted. This means that the net assets of the municipality equal the "net welfare" of the municipality, after all assets had been sold/recovered and all liabilities had been paid. Transactions that do not fall under the description of Revenue or Expenditure, such as increase in the value of Property, Plant and Equipment, where no in- or outflow of resources occurs, are recorded under Nett Assets.

Operational expenditure - Expenditure on the day-to-day expenses of the Municipality, such as salaries and wages.

Property rates - Local authority rates based on the assessed value of a property. In order to calculate the rates payable, the assessed value is multiplied by the rate in the rand.

SDBIP - Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan. A detailed plan consisting of quarterly performance targets and monthly budget estimates.

Strategic Objectives - The main priorities of the Municipality as set out in the IDP. Budgeted expenditure must contribute to the achievement of the strategic objectives.

Unauthorised expenditure - In general, expenditure without, or in excess of an approved budget.

Virement - A budget transfer.

Vote - One of the main segments of a budget.

PART 1 - ANNUAL BUDGET

Section 1 - Mayoral Report

In terms of section 16 (2) of the Municipal Financial Management Act (Act 56 of 2003), it is my privilege to table the 2015/16 to 2017/18 Medium term Revenue and Expenditure Framework (MTREF) to Council.

As this budget constitutes the proposed financial plan for the next 3 years, it naturally impacts on the community as a whole and it is thus extremely important to consult the community in a bid to create awareness and to gain support for joint ownership and responsibility in managing the municipality's financial affairs. Consequently, a compulsory period of community liaison shall follow before the final approval of this budget before the end of May 2015. Inputs and representations shall be considered after which consideration will be given in line with Section 23 of the MFMA whether the draft budget needs to be revised and amended.

Council's strategic objectives of service delivery include the continuation of an acceptable level of services, as well as improvement in those areas still in need of development. It remains a priority of the council to contain service delivery within the affordability levels.

Strategic objectives were developed for each focus area that are specifically linked to the multi-year budgets and is given effect to in the Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan. The municipality has also ensured that there is a distinction between municipal functions and those of other spheres of government.

To achieve the above, a balancing act is required between the set objectives and available financial resources, while taking into consideration the effect of tariff adjustments on the community as a whole, and more particularly the needs of the poor and vulnerable.

In addition, like as in the rest of the country we have not escaped the downturn in the economy, which has had a considerable influence to not only disposable income levels in our area, but has further lowered the level of unemployment and growth prospects. The effects of continuously rising costs in inputs such as fuel and electricity amongst other with the resultant multiplier effect on our expenditure(outflow of cash) requirements, have culminated in increased costs to provide basic and community services, making the achievement of the service delivery objectives so much more difficult and a even greater challenge.

Taking all of the above into consideration, I submit to you the following estimated expenditure summarised as follows:

TYPE	2015/16 (000)	2016/2017 (000)	2017/18 (000)
Operating expenditure	53 839	53 739	68 941
Capital expenditure	10 093	11 193	10 344
TOTAL	63 932	64 932	72 985

The increases in tariffs and service charges, in the main are influenced by the following, but not limited to the items below, over which council has little or no control:

- *Salary increases of around 9% excluding a further annual notch increase and other employee benefit increases such as housing subsidies, pension, medical aid contributions, etc. as determined at national bargaining level. The actual increases might be lower, but since the original demand of the unions started at 15% council decided to be prudent.*
- *The proposed increase of around 14.25% in Eskom's bulk purchase price for electricity.*
- *An increase of 10% in the bulk purchase price for water.*
- *Interest on capital costs to service the existing external loans.*
- *Compulsory statutory provisions for devaluation of assets, bad debt, and sufficient GRAP provision for post-employment medical contributions and long service awards.*
- *Service delivery challenges*
- *Repairs and maintenance*
- *The socio economic conditions and consumer profiles of communities*

Section 2 - Budget Related Resolutions

MTREF 2015/16 to 2017/18

The below-mentioned resolutions will be submitted to council for approval on 27 May 2015 :

RESOLUTION:

1. That the annual operating budget of Prins Albert Municipality for the 2015/16 financial year; and the indicative two projected outer years, 2015/2016 and 2017/18, as contained in the annexures in Section 4, be approved.
2. That the annual capital budget of Prins Albert Municipality for the 2015/16 financial year; and the indicative two projected outer years, 2015/2016 and 2017/18, as contained in the annexures in Section 4, be approved.
3. That the supporting tables relating to the budget of Prins Albert Municipality for the 2015/16 financial year; and the indicative two projected outer years, 2015/2016 and 2017/18, as contained in the annexures in Section 4, be approved.
4. Property tax rates indicated in Annexure A are approved for the 2015/16 budget year.
5. Tariffs, levies and other charges as per the tariff listing indicated in Annexure A are approved for the 2015/16 budget year.
6. Council notes and approve the reviewed and/or revised budget and related policies as set out in Section 8.

Section 3 - Executive Summary

Introduction

The annual budget and capital budget of Prins Albert Municipality for the financial year 2015/16 and the 2 indicative outer years 2016/2017 and 2017/18 are hereby tabled as envisaged by the applicable legislation and regulations:

- MFMA (Act 56 of 2003)
- The Division of Revenue Act, and Provincial Budget announcements
- Budget & Reporting Regulations 393 of 2009
- Sections 215 & 216 of the Constitution
- The Municipal Systems Act - No 32 of 2000 and Municipal Systems Amendment Act no 44 of 2003
- Councils budget related policies

Proposed 3 year budget

The projected estimates for the MTREF are as follows: (R '000)

OPERATING BUDGET

Type	2015/16 (R '000)	2016/2017 (R '000)	2017/18 (R '000)
Revenue	53 842	53 741	68 945
Expenditure	53 839	53 739	68 941
Capital transfers	10 093	11 193	10 344
Surplus	10 096	11 196	10 348

Tariff increases are inevitable given the cost of services and input costs, but more importantly to ensure that main services are cost reflective and that the main services are delivered on a sustainable basis as envisaged by the Constitution of SA and therefore the proposed main average tariff adjustments were modelled and calculated as follows:

Property tax rates	7%
Refuse removal	8%
Sewage	8%
Water units	8%
Electricity units	12.2% - awaiting final NERSA approval
Other Sundry Tariffs/Charges	As per tariff listing

The municipality should have calculated the tariffs using the P3 tariff model, but that would have caused tariffs to increase by between 30% to 50% which given the socio economic profile of the customer base would have not been collectable.

The financial resources to fund the Operational Budget will and must consist of realistically anticipated revenue generated from property taxes, service charges and other income. We were however mindful of estimated headline inflation for 2015/16 of around 6.26%, forming the basis of the extensive income modelling exercise, having cognisance of the principles of economical services being cost reflective, trading services generating surpluses, the effect of escalating salary costs and bulk purchases. The latter amongst other, formed the basis of what was required to deliver sustainable services at appropriate levels to our communities, the financial requirements to service infrastructure assets balanced against realistic and affordable tariffs (anticipated revenue).

A further constraint on the already tight resource envelope is the ability of all consumers to pay for services rendered as the high unemployment rate and effects of slow economic growth are felt throughout the community of the Greater Prins Albert Area. The situation requires extraordinary effort from local government practitioners and politicians in guiding strategic decision-making and managing our limited resources smarter and investigating and expanding on other financial resources.

By strict enforcement and execution of the credit control policy, together with an understanding of the prevailing economic climate, Council aims to maintain payment rate of at least 90% to meet Council's financial and constitutional obligations.

The priorities identified during the IDP process can only be funded within the financial resource envelope and the affordability levels of our community.

The Municipality's budget must be viewed in the context of policy and financial priorities of the National, Provincial and district authorities. Basically, the government spheres are partners in fulfilling the service delivery challenges experienced in the Prins Albert Area. It is therefore critical that the other government spheres support the municipality by direct allocation of resources and subsidies to enable the municipality to maintain and achieve the long-term capital infrastructure needs of the community.

Whilst the MTREF 2015/16-2017/18 budget is cash backed, a lot of work and challenges remain to put the municipality on a path of financial sustainability. Whilst considerable effort was exercised to limit expenditure to the absolute essentials, it must be noted that further cuts in expenditure will affect the level and quality of service delivery.

The community should however prepare and plan for above inflation increases for at least sanitation and solid waste tariffs over at least the foreseeable future due to the fact that these services were rendered at a loss year-on-year. We appreciate the tolerance and patience of our needy communities.

The National Context

South Africa has achieved considerable success in achieving macro-economic stability; but the economy is still struggling under the high levels of unemployment, poverty and growth of around 2%.

National Treasury issued budget circular number 72 in which they inform municipalities on the budget parameters within which municipalities must work when drafting their budgets.

While the South African economy is in a gradual recovery phase, the effects of the economic challenges (slow growth) experienced over the past three years still affects and continue to place pressure on the finances of the average consumer (levels of disposable income and savings). The multiplier effect of this pressure is that it typically results in greater difficulties for the municipality with regard to revenue collection, which directly affects not only the municipality's ability to deliver services, but also to budget accurately for such service delivery over the short to medium term

CONCLUSION

Although the operating budget reflects a surplus of R 10,906 million, it is critical to take cognisance of the fact that the main contributor to this positive position, is the government transfers emanating from and to capital renewal and represents an accounting entry only.

The capital grants income/receipts is the funding source to finance capital expenditure and it already forms part of the total capital expenditure finance resources.

Section 4 - Budget Tables

The following budget tables can be found in Annexure A:

Table A1 - Budget Summary

Table A2 - Budgeted Financial Performance (revenue and expenditure by standard classification)

Table A3 - Budgeted Financial Performance (revenue and expenditure by municipal vote)

Table A4 - Budgeted Financial Performance (revenue and expenditure)

Table A5 - Budgeted Capital Expenditure by vote, standard classification and funding

Table A6 - Budgeted Financial Position

Table A7 - Budgeted Cash Flows

Table A8 - Cash backed reserves/accumulated surplus reconciliation

Table A9 - Asset Management

Table A10 - Basic service delivery measurement

Supporting Table SA1 -SA37

PART 2 - SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Section 5 - Overview of annual budget process

Budget process overview

Political overview of the budget process

Section 53 of the MFMA stipulates that the Mayor should exercise general political guidance over the budgeting process and must direct the drafting of the budget.

Schedule of Key Deadlines in respect of the budget process [MFMA section 21(1)(b)]

The Act provides that the formal budget process must commence with the tabling by the Mayor in Council by way of a schedule setting out the key budget deadlines. This was compiled and approved by Council at the end of August 2014.

Process followed to integrate the revision of the IDP and drafting of the budget

The budgeting process was integrated with the IDP during the IDP revision mechanism. The outcome of the consultation upon the IDP revision is taken into consideration in the budgeting process.

Process for tabling of the budget before Council for consultation

A statutory period of consultation preceded the tabling of the budget before Council on 31 March 2015. Meetings with the local community shall be advertised in the local press after tabling of the draft budget.

The Executive Mayor shall consider the outcomes of these consultation meetings and a report in which the reactions are set out shall be tabled at the same meeting where the budget is to be tabled for final approval.

Process for approval of the budget

The budget must be approved by Council by 31 May.

Process and media used to provide information on the budget to the community

All budget documentation, the MTREF, as well as tariffs and policies, shall be available at Council libraries and offices for perusal. It shall also be available on Council's website.

Advertisements informing the public about the availability of these documents and the schedules for the public hearings on the IDP and the Budget shall be published in all local papers and be put up at municipal offices and libraries.

Budget process 2015/16

The budget process in Prins Albert complies with the requirements of the MFMA.

A schedule of key deadlines was compiled for tabling before Council by the Mayor before the end of August 2014.

The proposed budget must be tabled before Council by the end of March 2014. This is followed by a period of consultation. After the consultation process, the Mayor needs to consider any representations and decide whether any amendments need to be made to the budget as envisaged by section 23 of the MFMA.

The final budget must be agreed upon by Council before the end of May 2014.

The Municipality's budget was once again drafted on a 3-year basis. It takes the National and Provincial 3-year allocations to the Municipality into account. It is therefore necessary to plan and budget on a 3-year basis in order to take account of resource restrictions as well as capacity restrictions in respect of service delivery. The MFMA requires that municipalities draft 3-year budgets to ensure more thorough financial planning and to make provision for seamless service delivery.

As was the case last year, however, both capital and operating revenue and expenditure figures in the outer years in the current uncertain economic climate are indicators of service needs and not actual figures.

The municipality shall set out measurable performance objectives to link the financial inputs of the budget with service delivery on the ground. This shall be done in the form of quarterly service targets and monthly financial targets contained in the Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP). This must be agreed upon by the Mayor within 28 days after agreement on the final budget and forms the basis for the Municipality's monitoring and management tool during the next year.

Section 6 - Overview of alignment of annual budget with IDP

See supporting table SA4 - 6 (Annexure A)

Section 7 - Measurable performance objectives and indicators

See supporting table SA7 (Annexure A)

Section 8 - Overview of budget-related policies

The below-mentioned policies are included in this budget documentation - please note that most of the policies are in Afrikaans as the majority of the community speaks only Afrikaans:

- Credit control and Debt Collection policy - Klantesorg en bestuursbeleid asook munisipale kredietbeheer en skuldinvorderingsbeleid
- Municipal property rates policy - Eiendomsbelastingbeleid
- Funding and reserve policy
- Supply chain management policy
- Policy relating to infrastructure investments and capital projects - Batebestuursbeleid
- Cash management and investment policy - Kontant Bestuurs en Beleggings Beleid
- Borrowing policy - Ingesluit in Kontant Bestuurs en Beleggings Beleid
- Policy relating to disposal and management of assets - addressed partly by the Supply Chain Management Policy and the Batebestuursbeleid
- Indigent Policy - included in the Credit Control and Debt Collection policy
- Tariff policy - including electricity, water, sanitation and refuse removal/Solid waste
- Policy relating to long term financial planning - included in the Cash Management and Investment Policy

All municipal policies are available on the website

Section 9 - Overview of budget assumptions

Budget assumptions

Budgets are drafted in uncertain conditions. In order to develop credible and responsive budgets, assumptions must be made about internal and external factors that may affect the budget. This Section offers a detailed summary of the assumptions used in drafting the budget.

External Factors:

There is no real growth in the municipal area, and the only growth in the number of households relate to the building of RDP housing and the servicing of erven for those on the housing backlog waiting list which does not add to the revenue or tax base.

Job opportunities are limited as a result of limited or no growth, and the National budget has identified job creation as a priority and suggested that municipal capital and maintenance projects should assist in this by implementing labour-intensive projects within municipality's financial resource envelope.

The inflationary indexes made available by National Treasury could not be used in all instances as the guiding factor for increases in the tariffs. The impact of increases in petroleum, water costs, personnel costs and electricity costs to the municipality should not be underestimated and cannot necessarily be controlled by the municipality.

Funding compliance

The budget will not be fully cash-backed if the current debtors' collection rate deteriorates and in this regard the worst case scenario was used in the preparation of the budget. The budget is still considered credible as various revenue enhancing strategies are currently being investigated and the municipality embarked on a process to source experts to attend to revenue losses in the 2015/16 financial year.

Section 10 - Overview of budget funding

Funding of the Budget

Section 18(1) of the MFMA determines that an annual budget can only be funded from:

- Realistically expected revenue to be collected;
- Cash-backed accumulated funds of preceding years' surpluses not earmarked for other purposes; and
- Borrowed funds, but only for the capital budget referred to in Section 17.

Compliance with this requirement effectively requires that Council 'balances' its budget by ensuring that the budgeted outflow balances with a combination of planned inflow.

A Credible Budget

A credible budget, among other things, is a budget, which:

- Only funds activities which are in line with the revised IDP and vice versa and which ensures that the IDP is realistically achievable while taking account of the financial restrictions of the municipality;
- Is achievable in respect of agreed service delivery and performance targets;
- Contains revenue and expenditure projections that are in line with current and previous audited performance outcomes and that are supported by documented evidence of future assumptions;
- Does not compromise the financial viability of the municipality (ensures that the financial position is contained within generally accepted prudent limits and that obligations can be met in the short, medium and long term); and
- Provides managers with suitable levels of delegation to enable them to fulfil their financial managerial responsibilities.

A budget sets out certain service delivery levels and accompanying financial implications. Consequently the community must realistically expect to receive these promised service levels and to understand the accompanying financial implications. High under spending due to under collection of revenue or poor planning is a clear example of a budget that is not credible and realistic.

Furthermore, budgets tabled as early as 90 days before the start of the budget year, must remain credible and fairly close to the final approved budget.

Taking up Loans

The MFMA stipulates the conditions within which municipalities may incur short or long term debt.

The Act stipulates that short term debt may be used to meet immediate cash flow needs, but that it must be fully repaid within the financial year in which it was incurred. Long term debt can only be incurred for capital expenditure or refinancing of existing long term debt. It is however the intention of this council to not take-up further loans in the next year due to the capacity of the municipality to service further capital debt.

Section 11 - Expenditure on grant allocations and grant programs

See Supporting table SA18 (Annexure A)

Section 12 - Grants and allocations made by the Municipality

Any allocations made to an external body must comply with the requirements of Section 67 of the MFMA. This provides that before any funds may be transferred to an external organisation, the Municipal Manager as accounting officer must be satisfied that the organisation or body has the capacity to fulfil the agreement and has sufficient financial management and other systems in place.

National Treasury further indicated in MFMA circular 51 that no discretionary funds may be appropriated in the budget seeing as such funds are not transparent during the consultation process.

Section 13 - Councillor and board member allowances and employee benefits

See Supporting Table SA22 and SA23 (Annexure A)

Section 14- Monthly targets for revenue, expenditure and cash flow

See Supporting Table SA25-SA30 (Annexure A)

Section 15 - Service Delivery and Budget Implementation plan

Refer Annexure B Draft Top Layer SDBIP

Section 16 - Contracts having future budgetary implications

See supporting table SA33 (Annexure A)

Section 17 - Capital expenditure details

See supporting table SA36 for detail capital budget.

Section 18 - Legislative compliance status

Municipal Financial Management Act -No 56 of 2003

The MFMA took effect on 1 July 2004. The act modernises budget and financial management practices within the overall aim of maximising the capacity of municipalities to deliver services.

The MFMA covers all aspects of municipal finances, including budget, supply chain management and financial reporting.

The various sections of the Act are being phased in according to the designated financial management capacity of municipalities. Prins Albert municipality has been designated as a medium-capacity municipality.

The MFMA forms the basis of the municipal management reforms implemented by municipalities.

The MFMA and the budget

The following explains the budget process in terms of the requirements of the MFMA. It is based on National Treasury's manual on the MFMA.

The budget drafting process

The Mayor must direct the budget drafting process by means of a co-ordinated cycle of events commencing at least ten months before the start of each financial year.

Overview

The MFMA requires a Council to adopt a three-year capital and operating budget taking into account and aligning with the municipality's current and future development priorities and other finance-related policy (for example relating to the provision of free basic services).

These budgets must clearly set out the revenue per source and expenditure per vote over three years and must be accompanied by performance objectives for revenue and expenditure, a cash flow statement and any details on loans, municipal entities, service delivery agreements, grant allocations and details of employment costs.

The budget may only be funded from reasonable estimates of revenue and cash-backed surplus funds of the previous year and loans (the latter for capital items only).

Budget drafting time schedule

The first step in the budget drafting process is to develop a time schedule of all key deadlines relating to the budget and to revise the Municipality's IDP and budget-related policy.

The budget drafting time schedule is compiled by senior management and tabled by the mayor for adoption by Council by 31 August (ten months before the start of the next budget year).

Drafting of the budget and revision of the IDP and policy

The Mayor must co-ordinate the budget drafting process and the revision of Council's IDP and budget-related policy with the assistance of the municipal manager.

The Mayor must ensure that the IDP overview constitutes an integral part of the budgeting process and that any changes to strategic priorities as contained in the IDP document are based on realistic projections of revenue and expenditure. In developing the budget, management must take into account national and provincial budgets, the national fiscal and macro-economic policy and other applicable agreements or Acts of Parliament. The Mayor must consult the relevant District Council and all other local municipalities in that district as well as the applicable provincial treasury and the national treasury in drafting the budget, and must upon request provide certain information to National Treasury and other government departments.

The drafting process should ideally take place between August and November in order that draft consolidated three-year budget proposals, IDP amendments and policy could be made available during December and January. This allows time in January, February and March for preliminary consultation and discussion of the draft budget.

Tabling of the draft budget

By 31 March, the mayor must submit the draft budget to Council for public release.

Publication of the draft budget

After submission to Council, the Municipal Manager must disclose the relevant budget documentation and submit it to the National Treasury and the relevant provincial treasury and any other state department as required. At this stage,

the local community must be invited to make representations on the contents of the budget.

Opportunity to comment on draft budget

After submission of the draft budget, Council must consider the opinions of the local community, National Treasury and the relevant provincial treasury and other municipalities and state departments who submitted representations on the budget.

Opportunity for revision of draft budget

After considering all opinions and representations, Council must allow the Mayor the opportunity to react to the representations received and, if necessary, to revise the budget and submit amendments for Council's consideration.

After tabling of the draft budget at the end of March, the months of April and May must be used to accommodate public and government comments and make any revisions that may be necessary. This could assume the form of public hearings, Council debates, formal or informal delegations to National Treasury, provincial treasury and other municipalities, or any other consulting forums designed to address the priorities of interested parties.

Adoption of the annual budget

Thereafter, Council must consider the approval of the budget by 31 May and formally adopt the budget by 30 June. This offers Council a 30-day window period to review the budget several times before final approval.

Should a Council fail to approve its budget during the first meeting, the budget, or an amended copy thereof, must be reconsidered within seven days and so on until it is eventually approved - before 1 July.

As soon as the budget is approved, the Municipal Manager must place the budget on the municipality's website within five days.

BUDGET IMPLEMENTATION

Implementation management - the Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP)

The Municipal Manager must submit a draft SDBIP and draft annual performance agreement for all pertinent senior personnel to the Mayor for approval within fourteen days after approval of the budget (no later than 14 July).

A SDBIP is a detailed plan for implementation of the delivery of municipal services contemplated in the annual budget and should indicate monthly revenue and expenditure projections and quarterly service delivery targets and performance indicators.

The Mayor must approve the draft SDBIP within 28 days after approval of the annual budget (no later than 28 July).

This plan must then be monitored by the Mayor and it must be regularly reported on to Council.

Managing the implementation process

The municipal manager is responsible for implementing the budget and must take steps to ensure that all expenditure occurs according to the budget and that revenue and expenditure is properly monitored.

Deviation from budget estimates

In general, Council may only incur expenditure if it is in accordance with the budget, within the limits of the amounts appropriated for each budget vote - and in the case of capital expenditure, only if Council has approved the project.

Expenditure incurred outside these parameters may be regarded as unauthorised, or in some cases irregular and fruitless or wasteful. Unauthorised expenditure must be reported and could result in criminal prosecution.

Review of budget estimates - the adjustments budget

It may on occasion be necessary for Council to consider a revision of its original budget due to material and considerable changes in revenue collections, expenditure patterns, or forecasts thereof for the remaining part of the financial year.

In such cases, a municipality may adopt an adjustments budget drafted by the municipal manager, submitted to the Mayor for consideration and tabled before Council for adoption.

The adjustments budget must contain certain prescribed information, it may not result in further increases in rates and tariffs and it must contain relevant justifications and supporting material when it is approved by Council.

Requirements of the MFMA in respect of the contents of annual budgets and supporting documentation

Section 17 of the MFMA stipulates that an annual budget must be in the prescribed format and sets out what is to be included in that format. In MFMA circular 48, National Treasury offers detailed guidance on the contents of budget documentation and the supporting schedules. Prins Albert Municipality has done its utmost to comply with the circular.

The table below shows how Prins Albert Municipality complies with the disclosure requirements of Section 17 of the MFMA.

Requirement	Disclosure in budget documentation
Schedule of reasonably expected revenue for the budget year from each source of revenue	SECTION 4 (Annexure A)
Schedule indicating expenditure appropriations for the budget year under the various votes of the Municipality	SECTION 4 (Annexure A)
Schedule setting out the indicative revenue per revenue source and projected expenditure per vote for the two financial years following on the Budget year	SECTION 4(Annexure A)
<p>Draft resolutions -</p> <p>(i) approval of the budget of the Municipality</p> <p>(ii) instituting any municipal rates and fixing any municipal tariffs as may be required for the budget year and</p> <p>(iii) Approval of any other matters that may be prescribed.</p>	Section 2
Measurable performance objectives for revenue for each source and for each budget vote, taking account of the Municipality's Integrated Development Plan.	Section 7
Proposed amendment to the Municipality's integrated development plan after the annual review of the IDP in terms of Section 34 of the Municipal Systems Act	
Any prescribed information on municipal entities under the exclusive or shared control of the Municipality	N.A.
Details of all prescribed new municipal entities that the Municipality wishes to establish or which the Municipality wishes to participate in	N.A.
Details of any proposed service delivery agreements, including material amendments to existing service delivery agreements	

<p>Details of any proposed grants or allocations by the municipality to -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) other municipalities (ii) any municipal entities and other external mechanisms that assist the municipality in performing its functions or powers (iii) any other state organs (iv) any organisations or bodies referred to in Section 67 (1) (bodies outside die Government) 	Section 12
<p>The proposed cost to the municipality for the budget year of the salaries, allowances and benefits of -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) each political office-bearer of the Municipality (ii) councillors of the municipality (iii) the municipal manager, the chief financial officer, any senior manager of the municipality and any other official of the municipality with a remuneration package greater or equal to that of a senior manager 	Section 13
<p>The proposed cost in the budget year for a municipal entity under the exclusive or shared control of the Municipality for the salaries, allowances and benefits of -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (I) each member of the entity's board and (ii) the chief executive officer and each senior manager of the entity 	N.A.

Other Legislations

In addition to the MFMA, the following legislation also impacts on the Municipal budget;

The Division of Revenue Act, 2015 and Provincial Budget announcements

Three-year national allocation to local government is published annually according to municipality in the Distribution of Revenue Act. The Act imposes duties on municipalities additional to the requirements of the MFMA, specifically regarding reporting obligations.

Allocations to the Municipality from Provincial Government are announced in the Provincial budget and published.

Section 18 of the MFMA provides that annual budgets may only be funded from reasonably expected revenue to be collected. The provision in the budget for

allocation of National and Provincial government must include the allocations announced in the DORA or the applicable Provincial Gazette.

The Municipal Systems Act - No 32 of 2000 and Municipal Systems Amendment Act no 44 of 2003

One of the key objectives of the Municipal Systems Act is to ensure financially and economically viable communities. The requirements of the Act are closely linked with that of the MFMA. In particular, the following requirements must be considered in the budget process;

- Chapters 4 and 5 relate to community participation and the requirements for the Integrated Development Plan process.
- Performance management that links with the requirement for the budget to contain measurable performance indicators and quarterly performance targets in the Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan.
- Chapter 8 relates to the requirements of producing a tariff policy.

Section 19 - Quality Certification by Municipal Manager

I, H F W Mettler, Municipal Manager of Prins Albert Municipality, hereby declares that the annual budget and supporting documentation have been drafted in accordance with the Municipal Financial Management Act and the regulations issued under this act, and that the annual budget and supporting documentation are aligned with the Integrated Development Plan of the Municipality.

Municipal Manager

Signature

Date: 27 May 2015